

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,720	06/29/2001	Wen-Yuan Song	5853-173	8728
7	7590 10/01/2002			
Stanley A. Kim Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A. 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 400			EXAMINER	
			KRUSE, DAVID H	
P.O. Box 3188 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1638	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/896,720	SONG ET AL.			
viewen earninary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII ING DATE of this communication on	David H Kruse	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	— · nis action is non-final.				
·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa _l	oproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	l9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appli	cation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademost Office	6)				

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 19 and 20, drawn to a purified nucleic acid encoding a XB3 protein or an XB3-like protein, a vector comprising said nucleic acid, a cell comprising said nucleic acid and a method of modulating disease resistance in a plant cell or seed by transforming a plant cell with said nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.6, for example.
 - II. Claims 8-13, drawn to a purified XB3-like protein and an antibody directed thereto, classified in class 530, subclass 370, for example.
 - III. Claim 14, drawn to a method for identifying a substance that modulates binding of an XB3 protein to XA21, classified in class 435, subclass 15, for example.
 - IV. Claim 15, drawn to a method of producing an XB3 protein in a cultured cell, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, for example.
 - V. Claim 16, drawn to a method of identifying a substance that modulates expression of a gene encoding XB3, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.
 - VI. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to a method of isolating a substance that binds XB3, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,720 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

VII. Claims 21 and 22, drawn to a method of modulating disease resistance in a plant cell or seed with a nucleic acid that modulates expression of a native XB3, classified in class 800, subclass 285, for example.

VIII. Claims 23 and 24, drawn to a method of modulating disease resistance in a plant cell or seed by expressing a polypeptide that inhibits functional activity of a native XB3, classified in class 800, subclass 279, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the purified protein of Group II cannot be used in the method of Group I. In addition, the protein of Group II is structurally, compositionally and functionally distinct from the purified nucleic acid of Group I.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the purified nucleic acid of Group I cannot be used to practice the method of Group III.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 1638

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the transformed cell of Group I can be used in a materially different method than the method of Group IV, such as in the method of modulating disease resistance in a plant cell or seed encompassed by Group I.

- 5. Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the transformed cell of Group I cannot be used in the method of Group V. Alternatively, Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use, in such a case, the cell comprising the purified nucleic acid of Group I can be used in a materially different method, such as the method of modulating disease resistance in a plant cell or seed encompassed by Group I.
- 6. Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method of Group VI cannot be practiced using the purified nucleic acid of Group I.
- 7. Inventions I and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Art Unit: 1638

the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method of group VII cannot be practiced using the purified nucleic acid of Group I.

- 8. Inventions I and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method of Group VIII cannot be practiced using the purified nucleic acid of Group I. The limitation at claim 24 appears to be contradictory to the limitation that it modifies in claim 23.
- 9. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the purified protein of Group II can be used in a materially different process, such as in a method of making an antibody.
- 10. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the purified protein of Group II can be made using a materially different process than the method of Group IV, such as by chemical synthesis.

Art Unit: 1638

11. Inventions II and V, VII and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the inventions are unrelated because the purified protein of Group II cannot be used in any of the methods of Group V, VII or VIII.

- 12. Inventions II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the purified protein of Group II can be used in a materially different process, such as in a method of making an antibody.
- 13. Inventions III-VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because each identified method has distinct method steps, different starting materials and different effects.
- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and because the search required for one of the

Art Unit: 1638

groups is not required for another, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 15. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete within one month (not less than 30 days) must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143).
- 16. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17(i).
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Amy Nelson can be reached at (703) 306-3218. The fax telephone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306 Before Final or (703) 872-9307 After Final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-3015.

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 24 September 2002

AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Amy Nel